

FLEA BEETLES (COLEOPTERA: CHRYSOMELIDAE) OF ISPARTA, TURKEY, WITH HABITAT USE AND HOST PLANT ASSOCIATIONS

EBRU GÜL ÇILBİROĞLU, ALI GÖK

Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi, Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi, Biyoloji Bölümü, 32260 Isparta, Turkey (e-mail: egul@fef.sdu.edu.tr; aligok@fef.sdu.edu.tr)

Abstract.—85 species and 2 subspecies belonging to 14 genera of the Alticinae collected from Isparta, Turkey, and adjacent areas in 2002–2003 are ecofaunistically investigated. The species are categorized as abundant, common, or rare based on the relative abundance for each species. An ecological discussion of findings, including habitat use and host plant preference for each genus, is provided with related tables.

Key Words: Alticinae, Isparta, fauna, ecology, food plant, habitat use, abundance

The Alticinae are highly specialized phytophagous insects well adapted to their host plants. Both the adult and larval forms feed on stems, roots, and leaves of plants from various plant families (Jolivet 1988, Konstantinov and Vandenberg 1996). Most Alticinae species are neither very host specific nor general feeders. For each genus, the majority of species feed on hosts in one or a few plant families that are often chemically related (Furth 1979).

Flea beetles occur virtually everywhere, in almost all types of habitats. Palearctic flea beetle communities usually occur in open areas near forests or scrublands often associated with rivers or lakes, and nearly all kinds of meadows (Konstantinov and Vandenberg 1996).

Turkey is a biologically diverse region mainly due to being a bridge between Asia and Europe, and also a link to the Ethiopian region via the Arabian peninsula, thus providing a natural pathway for the spread of species both north–south and east–west (Çıplak 2003). Because of this important geographical situation and being a transitional region, many different macro and microhabitats occur in Turkey. The Alticinae

in Turkey are relatively poorly studied, especially from the ecological point of view.

Isparta has an altitude of 1050 m, situated in the western Mediterranean part of Turkey. Both the Mediterranean and Central Anatolia have major extensions into Isparta. Northern parts of the area have arid climatic conditions while southern parts are temperate. These different climatic factors and geographical position have allowed a rich flora and fauna to occur in the research area. According to Gruev (2002), Isparta is one of the important regions with refugium habitats in Asiatic Turkey.

The purpose of this study is to determine the flea beetle species existing in the research area with some additional ecological data such as generally preferred food plant families and habitat types.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study is based on material gathered throughout the spring season from Isparta and adjacent areas in 2002–2003. A total of 5939 beetles were collected by sweeping. The relative abundance of each species was determined by using the sample formula $n_x/N_T \times 100$ (n_x = individuals of species x ;

N_T = total individuals of all species). All the species were categorized as abundant, common, or rare based on these abundance degrees. Species which constituted more than 2% of the total number of captured beetles were considered as abundant, 0.1–2.0% as common, and less than 0.1% as rare. The specimens are deposited at the Department of Biology, Faculty of Art and Science, Süleyman Demirel University.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a result of collections in the study area, we identified 85 species and 2 subspecies of flea beetles belonging to 14 genera; 31 species in the genus *Longitarsus* Latreille, 16 in *Phyllotreta* Chevrolat, 8 in *Chaetocnema* Stephens, 7 in *Psylliodes* Latreille, 6 in *Dibolia* Latreille, 5 in *Aphthona* Chevrolat, 3 species of *Altica* Fabricius and *Neocrepidodera* Heikertinger, 2 species of *Crepidodera* Chevrolat and *Mantura* Stephens, and 1 species of *Ochrosis* Foudras, *Derocrepis* Weise, *Epitrix* Foudras and *Podagricra* Foudras (Table 1).

The most common and dominant genus in the research area was *Longitarsus* of which species occur in almost all types of habitats, including piedmonts, heath lands, meadows, and open spaces near forests or scrublands (Table 2). Xerophile *Verbascum* spp. (Scrophulariaceae), growing near roadsides, were especially preferred by many species of *Longitarsus*. Because of the broad range of habitats, *Longitarsus* has a wider range of species diversity and a large range of host plant families than most Alticinae genera (Furth 1980). In our studies Boraginaceae, Scrophulariaceae, and Lamiaceae were most commonly used by *Longitarsus* species (Table 2).

Phyllotreta is the second largest Alticinae genus in Isparta. Cultivated areas, such as fields, gardens, and orchards, and shrubs and roadsides are commonly preferred habitats. Among the genera found in the research area, *Phyllotreta* species mainly prefer plants belonging to the family Brassicaceae. The glucosinolates characteristical-

ly present in Brassicaceae are important feeding stimulants and play a significant role in the host plant selection of cruciferae-feeding leaf beetles (Matsuda 1988, Nielsen 1988). *Phyllotreta* spp. cause serious damage by producing small, tiny holes on the leaves of both cultivated and wild plants. Also it was observed that a single plant might be simultaneously shared by two or more *Phyllotreta* species. For example, *P. erysimi* Weise and *P. variipennis* (Boieldieu) were both found on *Diplotaxis tenuifolia* (L.) DC. and *Cardaria draba* L. Desv. (Brassicaceae). The most abundant *Phyllotreta* species was *P. corrugata* Reiche (3.8%), and it occurred nearly everywhere in Isparta Province.

Chaetocnema, another common genus in the research area, are the most abundant species collected from humid habitats. Members of this genus typically occur in various kinds of meadows, marshes, and moist areas often associated with streams or lakes. Poaceae species growing in these habitats were the most preferred food plants (Table 2). The predominant *Chaetocnema* species in Isparta were *C. tibialis* (Illiger) (4.1%) and *C. coyei* (Allard) (2.6%).

Psylliodes species account for 8% of the flea beetle species in Isparta. They were particularly common in steppe areas, in forests mainly represented by woodshrub vegetation, and in adjacent fields. Poaceae, Brassicaceae and Asteraceae were their most preferred food plant families. Some *Psylliodes* species feed on oaks after their normal host plant season had finished (Furth 1983, Booth et al. 1990). However, we could not confirm such feeding in the research area.

In Isparta, *Aphthona* species occur in piedmonts, steppe habitats between forests and fields, and in small valleys. In the research area, all *Aphthona* species were found on various *Euphorbia* (Euphorbiaceae). There is a distinct parallelism between the distribution of most *Aphthona* spp. and *Euphorbia* plants as stated by Konstantinov et al. (2001). *Aphthona* pyg-

Table 1. List and relative abundance of flea beetles collected from Isparta. Categories are “+” for rare species; “++” for common species; and “+++” for abundant species.

Taxa	Number (n _x)	Relative Abundance (%)	Abundance Category
<i>Phyllotreta</i> Chevrolat			
<i>P. aerea</i> Allard	69	1.2	++
<i>P. astrachanica</i> Lopatin	39	0.7	++
<i>P. atra</i> (Fabricius)	24	0.4	++
<i>P. bolognai</i> Biondi	18	0.3	++
<i>P. corrugata</i> Reiche	225	3.8	+++
<i>P. cruciferae</i> (Goeze)	72	1.2	++
<i>P. diademata</i> (Foudras)	42	0.7	++
<i>P. egridirensis</i> Gruev & Kasap	19	0.3	++
<i>P. erysimi</i> Weise	175	2.9	+++
<i>P. ganglbaueri</i> Heikertinger	5	0.08	+
<i>P. nemorum</i> (Linnaeus)	9	0.2	++
<i>P. nigripes</i> (Fabricius)	51	0.9	++
<i>P. pontoaegaeica</i> Gruev	30	0.5	++
<i>P. procera</i> (Redtenbacher)	45	0.8	++
<i>P. variipennis</i> (Boieldieu)	232	3.9	+++
<i>P. vitula</i> (Redtenbacher)	26	0.4	++
<i>Aphthona</i> Chevrolat			
<i>A. atrovirens</i> (Förster)	3	0.05	+
<i>A. bonvouloiri</i> Allard	76	1.3	++
<i>A. nigriceps</i> (Redtenbacher)	13	0.2	++
<i>A. nigriscutis</i> Foudras	67	1.1	++
<i>A. pygmaea</i> Kutschera	294	5.0	+++
<i>Longitarsus</i> Latreille			
<i>L. aeneicollis</i> (Faldermann)	22	0.4	++
<i>L. albineus</i> (Foudras)	25	0.4	++
<i>L. alfieri furthi</i> Gruev	30	0.5	++
<i>L. anclusae</i> (Paykull)	58	1.0	++
<i>L. ballotae</i> (Marsham)	70	1.2	++
<i>L. bertii</i> Leonardi	27	0.5	++
<i>L. corynthius corynthius</i> (Reiche et Saulcy)	50	0.8	++
<i>L. dimidiatus</i> (Allard)	42	0.7	++
<i>L. exoletus</i> (Linnaeus)	63	1.1	++
<i>L. karlheinzi</i> Warchalowski	77	1.3	++
<i>L. kutschera</i> Rye	3	0.05	+
<i>L. linnaei</i> (Duftschmidt)	73	1.2	++
<i>L. longipennis</i> Kutschera	163	2.7	+++
<i>L. luridus</i> (Scopoli)	227	3.8	+++
<i>L. lycopi</i> (Foudras)	139	2.3	+++
<i>L. melanocephalus</i> (De Geer)	43	0.7	++
<i>L. minusculus</i> (Foudras)	5	0.08	+
<i>L. nasturtii</i> (Fabricius)	1	0.02	+
<i>L. nigrofasciatus</i> (Goeze)	215	3.6	+++
<i>L. ochroleucus</i> (Marsham)	118	2.0	+++
<i>L. onosmae</i> Payerimhoff	16	0.3	++
<i>L. parvulus</i> (Paykull)	134	2.3	+++
<i>L. pellucidus</i> (Foudras)	65	1.1	++
<i>L. picicollis</i> Weise	36	0.6	++
<i>L. pinguis</i> Weise	2	0.03	+
<i>L. pratensis</i> (Panzer)	46	0.8	++
<i>L. pulmanariae</i> Weise	5	0.08	+
<i>L. salviae</i> Gruev	69	1.2	++
<i>L. scutellaris</i> (Rey)	15	0.3	++

Table 1. Continued.

Taxa	Number (n _x)	Relative Abundance (%)	Abundance Category
Longitarsus Latreille			
<i>L. solaris</i> Gruev	4	0.07	+
<i>L. stragulatus</i> (Foudras)	21	0.4	++
Altica Fabricius			
<i>A. deserticola</i> (Weise)	152	2.6	+++
<i>A. lythri</i> Aubé	3	0.05	+
<i>A. oleracea</i> (Linnaeus)	226	3.8	+++
Ochrosis Foudras			
<i>O. ventralis</i> (Illiger)	20	0.3	++
Neocrepidodera Heikertinger			
<i>N. crassicornis</i> (Faldermann)	4	0.07	+
<i>N. ferruginea</i> (Scopoli)	62	1.0	++
<i>N. transversa</i> (Marsham)	5	0.08	+
Crepidodera Chevrolat			
<i>C. aurata</i> Marsham	123	2.1	+++
<i>C. lamina</i> (Bedel)	28	0.5	++
Derocrepis Weise			
<i>D. anatolica</i> Heikertinger	77	1.3	++
Epitrix Foudras			
<i>E. dieckmanni</i> Mohr	86	1.4	++
Podagrica Foudras			
<i>P. malvae</i> (Illiger)	135	2.3	+++
Mantura Stephens			
<i>M. mathewsi</i> (Curtis)	2	0.03	+
<i>M. rustica</i> (Linnaeus)	2	0.03	+
Chaetocnema Stephens			
<i>C. concinna</i> Marsham	45	0.8	++
<i>C. conducta</i> (Motschulsky)	64	1.1	++
<i>C. coyei</i> (Allard)	155	2.6	+++
<i>C. hortensis</i> (Geoffroy)	75	1.3	++
<i>C. montenegrina</i> Heikertinger	5	0.08	+
<i>C. sahlbergi</i> (Gyllenhal)	20	0.3	++
<i>C. scheffleri</i> (Kutschera)	14	0.2	++
<i>C. tibialis</i> (Illiger)	245	4.1	+++
Dibolia Latreille			
<i>D. numidica</i> Doguet	3	0.05	+
<i>D. occultans</i> (Koch)	128	2.2	+++
<i>D. rugulosa</i> Redtenbacher	152	2.6	+++
<i>D. schillingi</i> Letzner	75	1.3	++
<i>D. timida</i> (Illiger)	5	0.08	+
<i>D. tricolor</i> Reitter	15	0.3	++
Psylliodes Latreille			
<i>P. cerenae</i> Gök, Doguet and Çilbıroğlu	160	2.7	+++
<i>P. chalcomerus</i> (Illiger)	88	1.5	++
<i>P. cupreus</i> (Koch)	32	0.5	++
<i>P. instabilis</i> Foudras	141	2.4	+++
<i>P. kiesenwetteri</i> Kutschera	17	0.3	++
<i>P. magnificus</i> Gruev	2	0.03	+
<i>P. sophiae</i> Heikertinger	175	2.9	+++

Table 2. Habitat and preferred host plant families of the flea beetle genera occurring in Isparta (% calculated based on total number of species).

Genus	%	Habitat	Preferred Host Plant Families
<i>Phyllotreta</i>	19	Cultivated areas, road sides, orchards, shrublands	Brassicaceae, Resedaceae
<i>Aphthona</i>	6	Piedmonts, steppe areas, small valleys	Euphorbiaceae
<i>Longitarsus</i>	37	Heath lands, shrubs, arid piedmonts, meadows, open spaces, moist places along the banks of streams	Scrophulariaceae, Lamiaceae, Boraginaceae, Plantaginaceae Asteraceae, Convolvulaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Dipsacaceae
<i>Altica</i>	3	Moist areas associated with streams or lakes	Rosaceae, Scrophulariaceae, Astera-ceae
<i>Ochrosis</i>	1	Bushes	?
<i>Neocrepidodera</i>	3	Moist areas including poplars	Violaceae, Lamiaceae
<i>Crepidodera</i>	2	Moist areas mainly consist of willows and poplars	Salicaceae
<i>Derocrepis</i>	1	Mixed shrub vegetations	Fabaceae
<i>Epitrix</i>	1	Field sides	Solanaceae
<i>Podagrica</i>	1	Irrigation ditches	Malvaceae
<i>Mantura</i>	2	Open spaces, steppe areas	?
<i>Chaetocnema</i>	9	All kinds of meadows, marshy places	Amaranthaceae, Poaceae, Cyperaceae
<i>Dibolia</i>	7	Semiarid and moist areas	Lamiaceae
<i>Psylliodes</i>	8	Mixed forests, scrublands, piedmonts	Poaceae, Brassicaceae, Asteraceae

maea Kutschera was the most abundant species (5.0%), and found in high population densities on different *Euphorbia*. *Aphthona nigricutis* Foudras and *A. pygmaea* were sometimes seen feeding on the same host plant. *Aphthona nigricutis* causes especially notable damage to the host plant leaves while feeding. Beetles nibble the upper sides of the leaves in large numbers. Leaves are nearly completely damaged.

The genus *Dibolia* is represented by 13 species throughout Turkey (Aslan et al. 1999), and 6 of them were found in Isparta. *Dibolia* species usually prefer wooded river banks, piedmonts that consist of shrubs, and open areas. We observed that members of this genus are closely associated with Lamiaceae (Table 2). Due to occurrence in large numbers, they skeletonize the leaves of various wild Lamiaceae, especially *Salvia spp.*

Three *Altica* species were determined from the research area. Among them, *Altica deserticola* (Weise) is particularly special-

ized on *Rubus canascens* DC. (Rosaceae) growing near streams. *Altica oleraceae* (L.) is not limited to a single host plant and was observed feeding on plant species from different families such as *Sangiosorba minor* Scop. (Rosaceae), *Veronica sp.* (Scrophulariaceae), and *Cirsium sp.* (Asteraceae). *Altica lythri* Aubé, is a rather rare species in the area.

Neocrepidodera species prefer herbaceous vegetation found in moist poplar forests. *Crepidodera* differs from other genera recorded in the investigation area by feeding behavior. These beetles are usually dendrophilic on Salicaceous plants growing in moist areas. Both species collected (*C. aurata* Marsham and *C. lamina* (Bedel)) were observed on the fresh leaves of *Salix spp.* and *Populus spp.* Adults nibble the leaves and cause damage due to their occurrence in high densities.

In Isparta, the genus *Epitrix* is represented by a single species, *E. dieckmanni* Mohr. Solanaceae is the most preferred food plant

family (Lopatin 1984, Furth 1997). Our samples were also found on *Lycium depressum* Stocks (Solanaceae) growing on rocks near a wheat field.

Mantura, *Derocrepis*, *Podagrira*, and *Ochrosis* have fewer species than other genera in Isparta Province. *Derocrepis anatolica* Heikertinger was found on *Genista tinctoria* L. (Fabaceae) growing in shrub vegetation including mainly oak and hawthorn, and *Podagrira malvae* (Illiger) on *Malva* spp. (Malvaceae). Food plants of *Mantura* and *Ochrosis* species were not determined.

DISCUSSION

Analysis of the flea beetle fauna in Isparta shows that some species are closely associated with certain host plants. According to Jolivet (1992), the chemical composition and secondary substances produced by the plant are responsible for the trophic selection of insects. Lamiaceae and Brassicaceae are especially preferred food plant families by most of the flea beetle species in the study area. Many species of *Longitarsus* and *Dibolia* feed on Lamiaceae. This is probably because of the chemical structure, attractive smell, or possible taste of plants belonging to this family. *Phyllotreta* species show a distinct preference in food plant family, being mainly limited to Brassicaceae.

Our investigations revealed that flea beetle populations increase rapidly after overwintering as a response to the spring growth of the host plant. Species diversity was greatest in May–June.

The total number of Alticinae species recorded from Isparta is nearly $\frac{1}{3}$ of the Turkish flea beetle fauna. This is because the investigated region has an important geographical position, suitable climatic factors, and different topographic zonation, all of which result in a rich flora and therefore a rich flea beetle fauna.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. A. S. Konstantinov (Systematic Entomology Laboratory, USDA,

Washington, DC) for reviewing this manuscript and providing valuable suggestions.

LITERATURE CITED

- Aslan, I., B. Gruev, and H. Ozbek. 1999. A preliminary review of the subfamily Alticinae (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae) in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Zoology* 23: 373–414.
- Booth, R. G., M. L. Cox, and R. B. Madge. 1990. *Guides to Insect of Importance to Man 3*. Coleoptera. University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 384 pp.
- Çıplak, B. 2003. Distribution of Tettigoniinae (Orthoptera, Tettigoniidae) bush-crickets in Turkey: The importance of the Anatolian Taurus Mountains in biodiversity and implications for conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 12: 47–64.
- Furth, D. G. 1979. Zoogeography and host plant ecology of the Alticinae of Israel, especially *Phyllotreta*; with descriptions of three new species (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Israel Journal of Zoology* 28(1): 1–37.
- . 1980. Wing polymorphism, host plant ecology, and biogeography of *Longitarsus* in Israel (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Israel Journal of Entomology* 13: 125–148.
- . 1983. Alticinae of Israel: *Psylliodes* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Israel Journal of Entomology* 17: 37–58.
- . 1997. Alticinae of Israel and adjacent areas: Smaller genera (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Israel Journal of Entomology* 31: 121–146.
- Gruev, B. 2002. A comparative study on Alticinae (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) in the Balkan Peninsula and Asiatic Turkey. Causes of the similarities and the differences of the fauna. *Travaux Scientifiques University Plovdiv, Animalia* 38(6): 49–79.
- Jolivet, P. 1988. Food habits and food selection of Chrysomelidae. Bionomic and evolutionary perspectives, pp. 1–24. *In* Jolivet, P., E. Petitpierre, and T. H. Hsiao, eds. *Biology of Chrysomelidae*: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 615 pp.
- . 1992. Insects and plants parallel evolution and adaptations. *Flora and Fauna Handbook No. 2*. Sandhill Crane Press, Inc., Gainesville, Florida, 190 pp.
- Konstantinov, A. S. and N. J. Vandenberg. 1996. Handbook of Palearctic flea beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Alticinae). *Contributions on Entomology, International* 1(3): 237–439.
- Konstantinov, A. S., M. G. Volkovitsh, and M. Cristofaro. 2001. New data on Palearctic *Aphthona* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) with description of a new species: Taxonomic and faunistic results of

- biological control exploration. *Entomological News* 112(1): 31-41.
- Lopatin, I. K. 1984. Leaf beetles (Chrysomelidae) of the Central Asia and Kazakhstan. Oxonian Press, New Delhi, 416 pp.
- Matsuda, K. 1988. Feeding stimulants of leaf beetles, pp. 41-56. *In* Jolivet, P., E. Petitpierre, and T. H. Hsiao, eds. *Biology of Chrysomelidae*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 615 pp.
- Nielsen, J. K. 1988. Crucifer-feeding Chrysomelidae: Mechanisms of host plant finding and acceptance, pp. 25-40. *In* Jolivet, P., E. Petitpierre, and T. H. Hsiao, eds. *Biology of Chrysomelidae*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 615 pp.